



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,543	09/13/2004	Preben Almind	GRP0079US	6725

23413 7590 12/08/2008  
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP  
20 Church Street  
22nd Floor  
Hartford, CT 06103

EXAMINER
----------

GORDON, STEPHEN T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3612

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

12/08/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/507,543	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALMIND, PREBEN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Stephen Gordon	<b>Art Unit</b> 3612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Gordon. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Dan Gibson. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 24-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: attorney for applicant indicated that claims 24-26 were apparently active in the case and not withdrawn; after review of the original election requirement and further review of the claims, the examiner indicated that it was clear that claims 24-26 are drawn to the non-elected invention of figure 15 and are thereby properly withdrawn from consideration at this time .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen Gordon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612	
---	--